

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MINUTES

Feb 03, 2011

Department: 304

IN RE:
SAN DIEGO COUNTY WATER
AUTHORITY VS. METROPOLITAN
WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN
CALIFORNIA et al

Case Number: CPF-10-510830

Present:

Judge: RICHARD A. KRAMER
Reporter: JANET POND

Clerk: JOSE RIOS-MERIDA
Bailiff:

Appearing:

PETITIONER&&
MAYER STEVEN L for SAN DIEGO
COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY,
PETITIONER
HENTSCHKE, DANIEL S. for SAN DIEGO
COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY,
PETITIONER
COLANTUONO, MICHAEL G. for SAN
DIEGO COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY,
PETIT

RESPONDENT&&
DRAGNA, JAMES J. for METROPOLITAN
WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN
CALIFORNIA, RESPONDENT
KAREN, TACHIKI L for METROPOLITAN
WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN
CALIFORNIA, RESPONDENT
GARCIA, BIANCA V. for UTILITY CO

Demurrers by defendants to other defendants' answers, DENIED. There is no statute authority for demurring defendants to other defendants' answers. Point well taken however. Court has in mind to require each defendant to submit a statement about what is the basis for his/her interest here and what supporting authorities there are, thus determining what demurring defendants seek. Demurrers argued and submitted. Tentative ruling stands. Requests for judicial notice off calendar as moot. Motion to strike is DENIED.

Court and counsel confer re "Federal Court Rule 26 statements." Court directs counsel to meet, confer and come up with suggestions for the case management conference.