January 16, 2013

The Honorable Ken Salazar  
Secretary  
U. S. Department of the Interior  
1849 C Street, N. W.  
Washington, DC  20240

The Honorable John Laird  
Secretary  
California Natural Resources Agency  
1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1311  
Sacramento, CA  95814

Dr. Jerry Meral  
Deputy Secretary  
California Natural Resources Agency  
1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1311  
Sacramento, CA  95814

The Honorable Michael L. Connor  
Commissioner  
U. S. Department of the Interior  
1849 C Street, N. W.  
Washington, DC  20240

Dear Secretary Salazar, Secretary Laird, Deputy Secretary Meral, and Commissioner Connor:

We are writing to you in advance of the planned release of the public review draft of the Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP), out of a deep concern over the status of this effort. We are united in a desire for a successful project that can be supported by project proponents, Delta stakeholders, and the public. That chance for success is substantially diminished as a result of the alternatives analysis that we have seen thus far. Up to now, the BDCP process has been strongly focused on advancing a large capacity conveyance which, along with the suite of associated conservation measures, will be burdened with large uncertainties and for which a solid business case has not yet been made. These unquantified risks include impacts on listed species, impacts on the Delta landform, hydrology and water quality, open-ended costs to direct water users and to the public, political controversy, and potentially lengthy litigation.
Absent so far has been a portfolio-based alternative that features a smaller conveyance facility with additional, complementary investments in local water supply sources, regional coordination, south of Delta storage, levee improvements, and habitat restoration (see attachment) as advanced in the coalition letter sent by other organizations today. We believe that it is critical to evaluate in detail a conveyance as small as 3,000 cfs, as it would provide considerable water supply benefits to the export community while better protecting broader interests in the Delta. Such a facility would also realize significant financial savings in comparison with a larger conveyance facility, face fewer legal and political challenges, and potentially be completed sooner. With accompanying investments in proven, cost-effective regional water strategies, this approach could increase export area water supplies and reduce the vulnerability of water supplies and Delta infrastructure to disruption from earthquakes and other disasters. We urge that this conceptual alternative be seriously considered in the BDCP process, including the required CEQA/NEPA analyses and the Clean Water Act Section 404 alternatives analysis.

A portfolio approach could produce superior benefits at a similar or lower cost to water users and the public, and at reduced levels of environmental impacts. It has the potential to be consistent with the best available science and, as a result, may be more readily permittable and capable of delivering benefits more rapidly. It would appear that a solid business case can be made for such an alternative; in any event, the business case must be made before any project proceeds.

We fully appreciate the magnitude of the challenges facing the Delta, and urge a comprehensive solution that is both affordable and science-based. We recognize the enormous effort you have undertaken toward this end, and hope that this conceptual alternative will continue to advance the discussion.

Sincerely,

Jerry Brown
General Manager
Contra Costa Water District

Maureen A. Stapleton
General Manager
San Diego County Water Authority
Attachment