Attachment 1, Part 2

Additional Comments Received After Scoping
**San Diego County Water Authority**

☑ YES, I would like to receive a CD containing a copy of the **Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project** draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement.

**Please print all information clearly**

Name: **SCOTT SCHMUTZLER**

Mailing Address: **10880 HW67 S 743**

City, State, Zip Code: **LAKESIDE CA 92040**

Telephone: **619 561 9805**

Allow 3 to 4 days for delivery from the date of release of the draft EIR/EIS

PLACE IN COMMENT BOX

---

**San Diego County Water Authority**

☑ YES, I would like to receive a CD containing a copy of the **Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project** draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement.

**Please print all information clearly**

Name: **RON WALCZAK**

Mailing Address: **11173 MORENO AVE**

City, State, Zip Code: **LAKESIDE, CA 92040**

Telephone: **619-316-1368**

Allow 3 to 4 days for delivery from the date of release of the draft EIR/EIS

PLACE IN COMMENT BOX
San Diego County Water Authority

☐ YES, I would like to receive a CD containing a copy of the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement.

** Please print all information clearly **

Name: Carl Murray
Mailing Address: 11810 Moreno Ave.
City, State, Zip Code: Lakeside, CA 92030
Telephone: 619-719-2620

Allow 3 to 4 days for delivery from the date of release of the draft EIR/EIS
PLACE IN COMMENT BOX

San Diego County Water Authority

☐ YES, I would like to receive a CD containing a copy of the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement.

** Please print all information clearly **

Name: Josephine Savage
Mailing Address: 11410 Moreno Ave
City, State, Zip Code: Lakeside, CA 92030
Telephone: 619-443-3627

Allow 3 to 4 days for delivery from the date of release of the draft EIR/EIS
PLACE IN COMMENT BOX
San Diego County Water Authority

☑️ YES, I would like to receive a CD containing a copy of the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement.

** Please print all information clearly **

Name: Mark Hanson
Mailing Address: 12453 Yerba Valley Rd.
City, State, Zip Code: Lakeside, CA 92040
Telephone: (619) 261-7180

Allow 3 to 4 days for delivery from the date of release of the draft EIR/EIS

PLACE IN COMMENT BOX

---

San Diego County Water Authority

☑️ YES, I would like to receive a CD containing a copy of the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement.

** Please print all information clearly **

Name: Carol Levin
Mailing Address: 12917 Mussey Grade Rd
City, State, Zip Code: Ramona, CA 92065
Telephone: 760-789-1749

Allow 3 to 4 days for delivery from the date of release of the draft EIR/EIS

PLACE IN COMMENT BOX
YES, I would like to receive a CD containing a copy of the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement.

** Please print all information clearly **

Name: Tom & Connie Graves
Mailing Address: 1424 Moreno Ave.
City, State, Zip Code: Lakeside, CA 92030
Telephone: 619-749-7298

Allow 3 to 4 days for delivery from the date of release of the draft EIR/EIS
PLACE IN COMMENT BOX

---

YES, I would like to receive a CD containing a copy of the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement.

** Please print all information clearly **

Name: Ed Griffin
Mailing Address: 13365 Miramar Dr
City, State, Zip Code: Lakeside, CA 92030
Telephone: 619-339-6732

Allow 3 to 4 days for delivery from the date of release of the draft EIR/EIS
PLACE IN COMMENT BOX
YES, I would like to receive a CD containing a copy of the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement.

** Please print all information clearly **

Name: Karen Ensell
Mailing Address: 11624 Moreno Ave
City, State, Zip Code: La Jolla 92037
Telephone: (619) 938-0675

Allow 3 to 4 days for delivery from the date of release of the draft EIR/EIS

PLACE IN COMMENT BOX

---

Did you know that you will also be able to download the draft EIR/EIS from the Water Authority’s website?

Visit www.sdcwa.org
San Diego County Water Authority

☑ YES, I would like to receive a CD containing a copy of the **Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project** draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement.

**Please print all information clearly**

Name: Pratt Bikby
Mailing Address: 11618 Johnson Lake Rd
City, State, Zip Code: Lakeside, CA 92040
Telephone: 619-561-2791

Allow 3 to 4 days for delivery from the date of release of the draft EIR/EIS

PLACE IN COMMENT BOX

San Diego County Water Authority

☑ YES, I would like to receive a CD containing a copy of the **Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project** draft environmental impact report/environmental impact statement.

**Please print all information clearly**

Name: GREG CRANHAM
Mailing Address: 9638 Prospect Ave
City, State, Zip Code: Lakeside, CA 92040
Telephone: (619) 938-8106

Allow 3 to 4 days for delivery from the date of release of the draft EIR/EIS

PLACE IN COMMENT BOX
San Diego County Water Authority

Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

If you have any comments about the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project, please provide them in the space below (for additional space, the reverse side may be used).

Written comment forms must be turned in tonight in the comment box.

**PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY**

I would like to know where the trail will go -
we use to go up Moreno & up thru the entrance then up the hill & back down to parking lot & launch area - cross parking lot the a few more miles up and around.
the beach to desert trail is coming very close to you - where will that connect?
I'd also like to know about the eagles & many dear what about the EPA?

Your Name: Karen Ensell
Address: 11624 Moreno Ave
City / State / Zip Code: LKSD 92040
**PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION START &amp; FINISH DATES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOURS, DAYS OR OPERATION WEEKENDS?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOISE OFF SITE (HVY EQUIPMENT, CONVEYORS BELTS)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DUST OFF SITE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BLASTING PARTICULATE CONTROL/NOISE/VIBRATIONS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIGHTING AT SITE, LOW PRESSURE SODIUM, GLARE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRUCK HVY EQUIPMENT TRAFFIC</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WHERE WILL CONCRETE COME FROM, HOW DELIVERED</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Earthquake Design Rating: 5, 6, 7, 8

Catastrophic Failure / Terrorist Attack / Surge Line

Recreation Use After Completion / San Diego City / Control Of

Boat Engine Noise, 2 Cycle vs 4 Cycle

Energy Production (In Line Turbines for 1½ - 2 Future Lines)

Your Name: C. T. Flynn

Address: 11538 Posthill Rd, Lakeside, CA 92040

City / State / Zip Code: 619-448-4827

Lakeside Community Forum Dec. 11, 2006
San Diego County Water Authority

Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

If you have any comments about the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project, please provide them in the space below (for additional space, the reverse side may be used).

Written comment forms must be turned in tonight in the comment box.

** PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY **

- Who do we contact regarding private property being affected by raising the dam? Does the water authority make decisions or does the City of San Diego?
- Will private property be protected from water access i.e.: fencing to ensure safety, privacy and discourage trespassing?
- What is buffer zone - will there be property taken from City/County?
- Can private property abut lake shore?
- Can you block off Mussey Grade arm to keep boats from coming to shore?
- Will I get a response to my questions?
- Mussey Grade Rd access to lake, possible fencing? People already trespass to the lake.

Your Name  CAROL LEVIN

Address  12917 Mussey Grade Rd.

City / State / Zip Code  Ramona, CA  92065  760-789-1749
Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

If you have any comments about the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project, please provide them in the space below (for additional space, the reverse side may be used).

Written comment forms must be turned in tonight in the comment box.

** PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY **

* TRAFFIC MORENO AVE
  (1) Construction  (2) BOAT-REC. AREA  Completion
  * RESERVOIR MANAGEMENT: FLOOD OVERFLOW
  * CURRENT SYSTEM UNSAFE + NOT ACCOUNTABLE

* FEMA FLOOD SHOUL DBE INVOLVED IN THIS PROJECT

* DOWNSTREAM RESIDENTS NOT PROPERLY NOTIFIED:
  (1) SIGNS  (2) CERT MAIL  (3) MORE MEETINGS

Your Name  CARL MURRAY
Address  11810 MORENO AVE
City / State / Zip Code  LAKESIDE CA 92030

619-719-2650

Lakeside Community Forum Dec. 11, 2006
San Diego County Water Authority

Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

If you have any comments about the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project, please provide them in the space below (for additional space, the reverse side may be used).

Written comment forms must be turned in tonight in the comment box.

** PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY **

1. Please have a place for the public can watch the project.

2. How much fill is already in the existing dam

Your Name: Arthur P. Pucci
Address: 9625 River view Ave
City / State / Zip Code: Lakeside, 92040

Lakeside Community Forum Dec. 11, 2006
Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

If you have any comments about the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project, please provide them in the space below (for additional space, the reverse side may be used).

Written comment forms must be turned in tonight in the comment box.

**PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY**

We would like to be informed how the public will be able to continue to have access to water sports in the San Diego East County area. El Cap. is limited to a low number of boats. Will another lake become available to the community while San V is closed to the public?

Your Name: Garrett and Janelle Schares
Address: 12454 Keeko Ter.
City / State / Zip Code: Lakeside CA 92030
Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

If you have any comments about the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project, please provide them in the space below (for additional space, the reverse side may be used).

Written comment forms must be turned in tonight in the comment box.

** PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY **

WHAT WILL THE WATER LEVEL BE AT WHEN WORK IS BEING DONE ON DAM?

IMPACT ON WELLNESS (EYES) AT THAT LEVEL?

IF DAM IS TO THE FIRST LEVEL WHAT TIME FRAME WOULD BE NEEDED TO GO TO 2ND LEVEL?

IMPACT DOWNSTREAM WITH WORK BEING DONE?

IMPACT DOWNSTREAM IF DAM FAILED?

WHAT OTHER RESERVOIR(S) WILL BE USED UNTIL SAN VICENTE IS READY?

IS THERE A POSSIBILITY OF CONNECTING SAN VICENTE TO CAPITOLA RESERVOIRS TO BREVE MORE STORAGE CAPACITY TO SAN DIEGO COUNTY?

MORE ACCESS ROADS TO AREAS OF THE LAKE?

WHAT ABOUT DE-SALINATION PLANTS AND WHERE WOULD THEY BE BUILT?

IMPACT ON TRAFFIC ON HWY 67 AND MORENO ROAD AND IN THE AREA?

WHAT IS THE LAG (COUNTY) DOING WITH THE EQUIPMENT AT THE LAKE?

Your Name  SCOTT SCHAUZLER

Address  10860 HWY 67 SB 43

City / State / Zip Code  LAKESIDE CA 92040

Email  MOTLYTAZ@COX.NET

Lakeside Community Forum Dec. 11, 2006
Will this be enough through 2017 or will there be more storage needed?

How much will horses be able to accommodate?

What would happen if you did both San Vincente and the proposed site by Valley Center?

What would making the canals into pipes do for seepage and loss.
I spoke w. Gina Molise about this topic. I would like a copy of the inundation map showing the high water elevations in the event of a total dam failure of the San Vicente Dam. I guess this area is also called the floodplain.

Thank you,

Bill Stumbaugh
619-890-0437
9908 Saddleback Dr.
Lakeside, CA 92040
Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

If you have any comments about the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise Project, please provide them in the space below (for additional space, the reverse side may be used).

Written comment forms must be turned in tonight in the comment box.

**PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY**

If construction goes on 7/24/2006 will a water authority project MRI/inspector be on site at all times? his phone # for public access.

How long to construct it?

How will runoff/septic contaminants be controlled from surrounding land/future development next to Lake/res. No build zone? why isn’t so of S.D. a part partner/land use/flood control

Your Name

Address

City / State / Zip Code

Lakeside Community Forum Dec. 11, 2006
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REPORTED BY CLAIRE A. WANNER, CSR NO. 12965
CARRYOVER STORAGE AND
SAN VICENTE DAM RAISE PROJECT

COMMUNITY FORUM,
commencing at the hour of 6:30 p.m. on Monday,
December 11, 2006, at 9841 Vine Street, Lakeside,
California, before Claire A. Wanner, Certified
Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of California.
THE MODERATOR: Let's review for tonight the
process that we want to go through. If you want a
speaker slip, just raise your hand and Jessica will
come around and give you one. And I think she's even
got a pen in her hand if you need it. And also don't
forget the written comment form. If you want to fill
this out, please do so tonight and drop it in that
black box in the back.

I want to just reiterate that this meeting not
part of the California Environmental Quality Act
process, and that's because of the legal notification
that I mentioned earlier. So we can't really call this
a scoping meeting, but we are going to include all of
the comments tonight in a chapter of that draft EIR and
EIS. The water authority staff will be using your
comments on some things that you think should be looked
at in the same way and giving those the same weight
that they gave any comments that were presented during
the formal scoping meeting. So while this really isn't
a question and answer forum, we're going to go back
into that open house after the comment period, and you
can ask additional questions of all the staff people
that are here tonight.

Now, as I said, we have a court reporter here
recording the comments so after I call your name, if
you'll come to the microphone right in the center of
the room here and say your name and state it slowly and
clearly so that she can get that information down and
then just speak into the microphone. Again, if you
could just do that a little bit slowly, then that will
help her process. Everything is being recorded. So if
somebody makes a suggestion to look at a specific issue
and that was the issue you were going to raise you
don't need to mention it again. Just go on to your
next issue.

Rachel is sitting right here, and we're going
to give you five minutes to comment tonight. This says
three minutes on the slide, but there's not that many
of you that have turned in slips, so we'll live it up
here and see how long you can talk. At any rate,
Rachel will be keeping time and she'll hold up a green
card when you have a minute left. And she'll hold up a
yellow card you have 30 seconds left. And she'll hold
up the red card with you need to wrap it up. Okay. So
she's going to be all set so you don't need to worry
about time. She see she'll be keeping that. She's
ready to go.

Okay. Without further ado, we'll go ahead and
start the process. Gordon Shackelford is our first
speaker, followed by Janis Shackelford, followed by
CARL MURRAY.

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Gordon Shackelford. First off, I'm in support of the project in terms of needing to store more water, and I think it's clearly evident although there's local impacts, that storing water at San Vicente is less impacted than starting from scratch. I'll make that clear.

The next point I want to make clear is I have full confidence in building dams out of concrete, and I think I have a lot of confidence in the new method of roller compaction. Where my levels of concern and I believe the EIR needs to address -- and this is a different way of getting things they have in the previous project -- needs to address is the hybrid structure, which I suspect there's probably not a lot of experience with.

Listening carefully to what was said, the first dam raising, the emergency storage project was described in fact up on one of the displays as approved and permitted. But then I heard from Jeff we could build it tomorrow if we actually had the plans. And that makes me suspect that "the approved and permitted" means that the environmental on the CEQA side and the NEQA side has been approved, the 4074 has been approved.
But I would think there's somebody somewhere who looks at the engineering of the proposed project. And if you don't have plans, I would not believe that you, in fact, have that approved. I know I asked that as a question, but I made that an assumption unless someone tells me I'm wrong.

So what it really means on the first project, the issues I have of concern appear to not have been addressed. I looked at the EIR in the first one this evening, and it described checking for leakage and all the good things you do. But truth is that's really after the fact. It didn't go to structural.

The reason I have concern about the structure -- I have to be careful about how I say this. I'm not a structural engineer, but I am a physicist, which means I know the direction of forces; I just don't know how strong things are. But my concern comes in the hybrid structures we have. The existing dam's been there a long time, made of concrete, sat in the ground for a long time.

We're coming up in a new structure, coming up a fair distance, probably 100 some-odd feet against the existing dam with the roller compacted. We'll be trying to compact against the existing surface. That's hard to do. But by the time you're up there. You end
up having dissimilar structure, dissimilar materials. And I would expect different characteristics that are thermal or when it's wet or compaction settlement. And the place where I would see the gravest concern -- now, again, all we really have is artist's conception.

That's the point. We don't have engineering drawings here -- is right where this laps over the top of the concrete. So my concern if we settle on the outside structure, we fracture right there. And the next question is what happens when some water gets in?

So there's issues, in my view, that at the environmental side, in given the nature of the hybrid structure, which I suspect there's not much track record in the country on this -- I suspect that is true -- that really needs to be permitted.

Other areas where I sort of doubt the artist's conception, which is really all we've got, is whether the roller compacted really can go up 120 some odd feet vertically on the inside. I wouldn't want the job of the person running the roller right against that edge. But I'll make the point it begs some questions with me. So if we look at the model of the previous approval, we have something which led to the approval on the environmental side but did not address the structural side. That's my concern.
So coming back as kind of a summary point on it. If you want to make it all out of concrete, great. I understand the costs, but that's been done. There's a big track record. I have full confidence in that. On the roller compacted, as far as I know, it's a good process. But we're putting a couple things together. I see the potential for slippage between one surface versus another. I see exacerbation of water in there. And then, frankly, honestly, I also look at in the worst case scenario, does it fill quickly or does it fill slowly? That in itself is critical. So there's where my concerns lie. Thank you very much.

THE MODERATOR: Okay. Thank you. Janis Shackelford, then Carl Murray, then Suzy -- I don't know if I can pronounce your name.

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Janis Shackelford. As I previously mentioned to a number of the people here, your scoping process was flawed in that you never held this meeting prior to this time during the scoping period. You may have met the intent of CEQA, but you did not meet the spirit of it. And I'm glad you're finally out here, but I'm also very concerned that all of the comments this evening do not carry the same weight as far as CEQA is concerned.

I've already submitted a letter on number of
these issues. One is the trails around the lake.

There is a county master transcounty trail. I would
like that addressed in the EIR -- how this project will
impact it, what your mitigations are to the trail. At
the foot of the dam somewhere down that canyon is this
historic site of Foster. That used to be a railroad
station out here. There are still some artifacts left,
as I understand, in an enclosed area. I would like to
know how this project is going to affect that. If
there is an effect, I would very much like the Lakeside
Historical Society to be contacted and fully involved
in the project and in the environmental analysis and
conference.

The previous speaker mentioned the effect of
the -- a catastrophic dam failure. The City of San
Diego has an emergency response plan in the event the
project site -- that there is a failure. I'd like know
if there is one for the community of Lakeside, who will
develop it. How will our residents be notified, and
since the City of San Diego is in control of the water
levels in that reservoir and the whole intent of this
water storage carryover project is to keep water levels
high -- as high as possible -- in the event of a
100-year storm in this county, we already know Lakeside
floods. And I have a number of old pictures I dug out
from '87 that show the dam flooding, that show the
community downstream washed out.

I feel that this project, while it is not a
flood control project, should be able to develop a
water management plan that the City must adhere to that
keeps water level in the reservoir below a 100-year
storm level so that in the event we ever get rain
again, that we will not spill and that we will not wash
out Moreno Valley and Lakeside again, not to mention
down in San Diego. So I feel that that is an absolute
requirement of this project.

This evening I heard that part of the
aggregate of this project may come from areas that were
not previously identified to the public. This is the
sort of thing that I have very, very great concerns
about because the public cannot respond in the scoping
places when things are changing outside of the public
process. So the fact that there may be an aggregate
site on downstream of the dam on the hillside has never
been discussed, to my knowledge. That will have
definite visual impacts to the community, not to
mention noise blasting to nearby residences in -- all
impacts that have never before been identified to my
knowledge.

Thank you very much.
THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Carl and then
Suzy.

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Good evening. Carl Murray,
Lakeside resident, Moreno Valley.

This is a major project. Having made some
calls on this previously, it's my knowledge that the
last FEMA flood mapping was in the early '70s, about
1973. I brought this up to Alex Newton and some other
people up at the water authority, and they're frank
response was that they were not in the flood control
business. That concerns me greatly because San Diego
City managing the reservoir has evidenced in the past
that they cannot properly manage the reservoir in a
100-year flood. It's overflowed three times in the
last 35-odd years causing structural, property and
livestock damages in the Moreno Valley, some of it
substantial. It's made it difficult for us to get
bidding permits following the Cedar fire. It's
complicated our rebuilding efforts.

So I would ask that possibly some involvement
with FEMA and flood mapping go into this. I don't feel
that residents in the Moreno Valley have been properly
notified. I received a card just within the last week
in the mail. Most of us are working folks down there,
and we have to adjust our schedule. I'd like to see
some signs go up of the intent so that people can see
them as they're driving by and possibly some certified
mailings or something like that. In order to build an
oversized garage, I had to go out and get signatures of
my adjoining property owners in the Moreno Valley.
This is a little bit larger.

I didn't understand who will ultimately decide
and how the decision is made as to which, if either of
these projects go forward or the 50-50. That would be
another question I'd like clarification on. And just
like to wrap with saying I'd like to see greater
community involvement which may mean, you know, even
some door-to-door work. Because it does impact us down
there. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thanks. I think Kelly is
going to --

MS. GAGE: I'll answer your question about the
approval, okay?

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Okay. Please.

MS. GAGE: So the approval will come at the
end of the environmental process. We are, like I
mentioned, going to be releasing the final EIR EIS
document in January of 2008. And at that time our
board will consider all aspects of the project, both
environmental impacts -- the cost, the timing, the
schedule, all of that -- and approval. There's -- it's going to be a two-step process because we do -- is the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers involved, so the San Diego Water Authority board of directors will be making the decision at the time that they certified the final environmental document in January of 2008 what's currently scheduled January 2008. So that's when they will pick which alternative. Our preferred action, obviously, is the 100,000-acre feet at San Vicente.

So at that point they will make the approval and pick one of the alternatives for construction for approval. The next step in the process, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers will also have to issue us a permit, the 404 permit that I talked about, the clean water act. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers will also have to take all of the information in the environmental document and make a determination of whether or not to issue as that permit.

That will happen within 30 to 60 days after our board of directors in San Diego County Water Authority approves the project. So that decision may come in February, March time frame, and then the permit itself will be issued we're hoping by May or June time frame from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

So it's really a two-step process. The first
in that process is the San Diego County Water Authority
board of directors approving a project, and that will
happen in January 2008 is what we're scheduled to do.

So does that answer your question?

PUBLIC SPEAKER: Generally.

MS. GAGE: Generally? Okay. We can talk
afterwards if you have other specific questions.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you, Kelly. And our
fourth speaker says that all of her issues have been
raised, and so she doesn't need to speak tonight.

Are there any other people who want to -- come
on up and speak and then if you would afterwards fill
out one of these forms for me for our records.

PUBLIC SPEAKER: My name is Eileen Hoag,

H-o-a-g.

And my concern is about the traffic. We have
quite a bit that comes down Moreno Avenue at speed
pulling boats with all and sundry stuff spilling out
from the sides. I'd like to see that there would be
some sort of designs as far as something out San
Vicente to kind of encourage them to go up to
San Vicente off of 67 and then approach the dam in that
direction. Because I think it's probably just a matter
of what's most convenient. And I guess the access -- I
don't pull a boat, but I'm assuming that there's some
sort of issue about how they have a really tight turn
to come from San Vicente.

So if they can design that whole area and have
an intersection with a light obviously so that you can
have people turning, it might encourage people not to
bring their boats down there at speed. But it is a
fairly agricultural area, and a lot of us ride horses
and drive them down in the area. Plus, people live
there, and they'd kind of like to not have all of that
running amuck as it is.

Unfortunately, we have a very straight road,
and it encourages a lot of people to do it. But if you
design the traffic flow in such a way that it's easier
for them to do that than to come all the way down
Moreno Avenue -- I swear sometimes I wish we could put
speed bumps on that thing -- then it would encourage
them to use the shorter route off of 67 than to come
down Moreno Avenue at such high speeds. So I would
like to see some traffic design. Thank you.

THE MODERATOR: Thank you. Will you stop over
here get that paperwork done for me? Thanks. Anybody
else?

Okay. I really appreciate all your comments,
and I'll bet you that some questions have occurred to
you that you want to talk to Kelley and Jeff about. I
saw Jeff writing like a mad man over there, taking
notes all the way through, and so he's got a lot of
things written down and has probably piqued some
thoughts of his as well. So thank you very much for
coming. Please go back and take a closer look at these
things.

We'll close the meeting. I'm just thinking
some people might want to actually look at a map. But
the comment meeting is closed now, and you can sit
right where you are or go back to the open house and
point things out on the map. Thank you very much.

(Whereupon, the meeting concluded at 7:50 p.m.)

* * * * *
I, Claire A. Wanner, Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of California, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were reported by me stenographically and later transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing is a true record of the proceedings taken at that time.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name this 2nd day of January, 2007, at San Diego, California.

Claire A. Wanner, CSR No. 12965
## SAN DIEGO COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY

CARRYOVER STORAGE AND SAN VICENTE DAM RAISE PROJECT

**LAKESIDE COMMUNITY FORUM, DECEMBER 11, 2006**

**TRANSCRIPT SUMMARY AND WRITTEN COMMENTS FROM PUBLIC COMMENT FORMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>EIR/EIS Section Where Comments Are Addressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRANSCRIPT OF COMMUNITY FORUM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Shackelford</td>
<td>Is in support of the project in terms of needing to store more water. Main concern is with the hybrid structure, which he suspects there’s probably not a lot of experience with. If the emergency storage project is approved and permitted, that must mean that the environmental on the CEQA side and the NEPA side has been approved, and the 404 has been approved. But someone must look at the engineering of the proposed project and if there aren’t plans, then he would not believe that the engineering is approved. So on the first project, the issues of concern appear to not have been addressed. The EIR did not go to structural. Concern is with the hybrid structure, where the existing concrete dam has been there a long time, and the new structure is coming up probably 100 feet against the existing dam with roller compacted concrete, trying to compact against the existing surface, which is hard to do. End up having dissimilar structure, dissimilar materials, and different characteristics that are thermal or when it’s wet or compaction settlement. But all we have is artist’s conception. Concern is right where the structure laps over the top of the concrete, and if the outside structure settles, it fractures right there. Then what happens when some water gets in? There are issues on the environmental side, given the nature of the hybrid structure, where there is not much track record in the country on this, that need to be permitted. Also doubts whether the roller compacted really can go up 120 feet vertically on the inside. The previous approval led to the approval on the environmental side but did not address the structural side. There’s a big track record for all concrete, and roller compacted is a good process. But by putting these together, there is the potential for slippage between one surface versus another. He sees exacerbation of water in there. In the worst case scenario, does it fill quickly or does it fill slowly. That in itself is critical.</td>
<td>2.2.2, 2.2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>EIR/EIS Section Where Comments Are Addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janis Shackelford</td>
<td>The scoping process was flawed in that the meeting was not held prior to this time during the scoping period. May have met the intent of CEQA but not the spirit. Concerned that all of the comments this evening do not carry the same weight as far as CEQA is concerned. She submitted a letter. One issue is the trails around the lake. Would like the county master transcounty trail addressed in the EIR: how this project will impact it, what the mitigations are.&lt;br&gt;Would like to know how the project will affect the historic site of Foster where there used to be a railroad station, and there are still some artifacts left in an enclosed area. If there is an effect, would like the Lakeside Historical Society to be contacted and fully involved in the environmental analysis.&lt;br&gt;Regarding a catastrophic dam failure, would like to know if there is an emergency response plan for the community of Lakeside, who will develop it, and how will residents be notified. Already know Lakeside floods in the event of a 100-year storm. She has photos from 1987 that show the dam flooding and show the community downstream washed out.&lt;br&gt;While this project is not a flood control project, she feels it is an absolute requirement that the project should develop a water management plan that the City must adhere to that keeps water level in the reservoir below a 100-year storm level so that it will not spill and Moreno Valley and Lakeside will not be washed out again.&lt;br&gt;Very concerned about aggregated coming from areas that were not previously identified to the public. Aggregate sites downstream of the dam on the hillside will have definite visual impacts to the community, also noise blasting, which are impacts not identified before.</td>
<td>1.5.2, 3.13, 2.2.3, 3.13, 3.3, 3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Murray</td>
<td>The latest FEMA flood mapping was about 1973. Concerned that water authority says they are not in the flood control business. Reservoir has overflowed three times in the last 35-odd years causing structural, property and livestock damages in the Moreno Valley. It has made it difficult to get building permits following the Cedar fire. It has complicated their rebuilding efforts.&lt;br&gt;Would ask that involvement with FEMA and flood mapping go into this.&lt;br&gt;Residents in Moreno Valley have not been properly notified. Would like to see some signs go up of the intent so that people can see them as they're driving by, and possibly some certified mailings.&lt;br&gt;Who will ultimately decide and how will the decision be made as to which project goes forward?&lt;br&gt;Would like to see greater community involvement, even some door-to-door work, because the project does impact them.</td>
<td>3.13, 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eileen Hoag</td>
<td>Concerned about traffic. A lot of traffic pulling boats comes down Moreno Avenue at speed, and stuff spills out from the sides. Would like to see designs to encourage traffic to go up to San Vicente off of 67 and then approach the dam. There is a really tight turn to come from San Vicente. Would like to see a design with an intersection and a light for turns that would encourage people not to bring their boats down Moreno Avenue at high speed.</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>EIR/EIS Section Where Comments Are Addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Ensall</td>
<td>Would like to know where the trail will go.</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We use to go up Moreno and up thru the entrance then up the hill and back down to parking lot and board launch area – cross parking lot a few more miles up and around.</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The beach to desert trail is coming very close to you – where will that connect?</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I'd also like to know about the eagles and many deer; what about the EPA?</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT. Flynn</td>
<td>Estimated construction start &amp; finish dates</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction hours, days of operations (weekend?)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction noise off site (heavy equipment, conveyor belts)</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction dust off site</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction blasting particulate control/noise/vibrations</td>
<td>3.5, 3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction lighting at site, low pressure sodium, glare</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction truck, heavy equipment traffic</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction – where will concrete come from, how delivered</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Earthquake design rating 5, 6, 7, 8</td>
<td>2.2, 3.8, 3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catastrophic failure / terrorist attack / surge line</td>
<td>2.2, 3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recreation use after completion / San Diego City / Control of boat engine noise, 2 cycle versus 4 cycle</td>
<td>3.11, 3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy production (in line turbines for 1 &amp; 2 &amp; future lines)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complaint procedure / hot line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Levin</td>
<td>Who do we contact regarding private property being affected by raising the dam? Does the Water Authority make decisions or does the City of San Diego.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will private property be protected from water access i.e., fencing to ensure safety &amp; privacy and discourage trespassing.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What is buffer zone – will there be property take from City/County?</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can private property abut lake shore?</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can you block off Mussey Grade arm to keep boats from coming to shore?</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Will I get a response to my questions?</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mussey Grade Rd access to lake; possible fencing? People already trespass private ranch to get to the lake.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>EIR/EIS Section Where Comments Are Addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Murray</td>
<td>Traffic Moreno Ave: (1) Construction (2) Boat-rec after completion Reservoir management: flood overflow – current system UNSAFE and not accountable FEMA flood should be involved in this project. Downstream residents not properly notified! (1) signs), (2) certified mail, (3) more meetings</td>
<td>3.16 3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur A. Pucci</td>
<td>1. Please have a place for the public can watch the project.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. How much fill is already in the existing dam.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrett and Janelle Scharer</td>
<td>We would like to be informed how the public will be able to continue to have access to water sports in the San Diego East County area. El Cap is limited to a low number of boats. Will another lake become available to the community while San V is closed to the public?</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Schmutzler</td>
<td>What will the water level be at when work is being done on dam? Impact on wildlife (fish) at that level? If dam is to the first level what time frame would be needed to go to 2nd level? Impact downstream with work being done? Impact downstream if dam failed? What other reservoir(s) will be used until San Vicente is ready? Is there a possibility of connecting San Vicente, El Capitan, and Jennings to bring more storage capacity to San Diego County? More access roads to areas of the lake? What about de-salination plants and where would they be built? Impact on traffic on Hwy 67 and Moreno Road and in the area? What is the lake (county) doing with the equipment at the lake? Will this be enough through 2017 or will there be more storage needed? How much will Hodges be able to accommodate? What would happen if you did both San Vicente and the proposed site by Valley Center? What would making the canals into pipes do for seepage and loss.</td>
<td>2.3 2.1 3.16 3.13 3.15 2.1 3.16 1.3 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>EIR/EIS Section Where Comments Are Addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No name given</td>
<td>If construction goes on 7/24/weekends will a Water Authority project mgr/inspector be on site at all times??</td>
<td>2.2.1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>His phone # for public access.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How long to construct 117’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How will runoff/septic contaminants be controlled from surrounding land / future development next to lake / res / no build zone??</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Why isn’t City of San Diego a joint partner / land use / flood control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>