

Volume I

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT	A-1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1

Part I – Introduction

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1	Introduction	1-1
1.2	Project Background.....	1-2
	1.2.1 Background of the San Diego County Water Authority.....	1-2
	1.2.2 Emergency Storage Project	1-3
	1.2.3 Regional Water Facilities Master Plan	1-5
	1.2.4 Urban Water Management Plan	1-9
1.3	Project Need	1-10
1.4	Project Purpose.....	1-11
1.5	Environmental Process	1-11
	1.5.1 Purpose and Use of the EIR/EIS	1-11
	1.5.2 Scoping Process	1-12
	1.5.3 Availability of Draft EIR/EIS	1-14
1.6	Document Organization	1-14
1.7	Other Related Environmental Documents	1-16
	1.7.1 Emergency Storage Project EIR/EIS	1-16
	1.7.2 Regional Water Facilities Master Plan Program EIR.....	1-17
1.8	Discretionary Actions and Approval	1-18

1.9 Water Authority General Conditions and Standard Specifications 1-23

 1.9.1 Aesthetics/Visual Quality 1-23

 1.9.2 Air Quality..... 1-24

 1.9.3 Biological Resources..... 1-25

 1.9.4 Geology and Soils 1-26

 1.9.5 Noise and Vibration..... 1-27

 1.9.6 Public Safety and Hazards..... 1-27

 1.9.7 Public Services and Utilities..... 1-30

 1.9.8 Traffic/Circulation 1-30

 1.9.9 Water Resources 1-31

2. ALTERNATIVES ANALYZED 2-1

2.1 Alternatives Identification and Screening Process..... 2-1

 2.1.1 Regulatory Background 2-1

 2.1.2 Description of Screening Process..... 2-3

 2.1.3 Technical Panel..... 2-4

 2.1.4 Carryover Storage Options (“Building Blocks”)..... 2-4

 2.1.5 Initial Screening..... 2-5

 2.1.6 Coarse Screening 2-12

 2.1.7 Fine Screening 2-14

2.2 Alternative 1: San Vicente 100,000 AF (Proposed Action) 2-17

 2.2.1 Project Description 2-17

 2.2.2 Construction Methods 2-24

 2.2.3 Reservoir Operations 2-27

 2.2.4 Baseline Conditions for Impact Analysis..... 2-31

2.3 Alternative 2: Moosa 100,000 AF..... 2-35

 2.3.1 Project Description 2-35

 2.3.2 Construction Methods 2-38

 2.3.3 Reservoir Operations 2-42

 2.3.4 Baseline Conditions for Impact Analysis..... 2-42

2.4 Alternative 3: San Vicente 50,000 AF + Moosa 50,000 AF 2-44

 2.4.1 Project Description 2-44

 2.4.2 Construction Methods 2-47

 2.4.3 Reservoir Operations 2-48

 2.4.4 Baseline Conditions for Impact Analysis..... 2-48

2.5 No Action Alternative..... 2-50

2.6 Other Alternatives Considered But Rejected 2-52

 2.6.1 Initial Screening..... 2-53

 2.6.2 Coarse Screening 2-55

 2.6.3 Fine Screening 2-55

Part II – Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences

3.	ALTERNATIVE 1: SAN VICENTE 100,000 AF (PROPOSED ACTION)	3.1-1
3.1	Project Description and General Environmental Setting.....	3.1-1
3.2	Cumulative Projects	3.2-1
3.3	Aesthetics/Visual Quality.....	3.3-1
	3.3.1 Affected Environment.....	3.3-1
	3.3.2 Project Design Features	3.3-3
	3.3.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.3-4
	3.3.4 Cumulative Effects	3.3-11
3.4	Agricultural Resources	3.4-1
	3.4.1 Affected Environment.....	3.4-1
	3.4.2 Project Design Features	3.4-4
	3.4.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.4-5
	3.4.4 Cumulative Effects	3.4-7
3.5	Air Quality.....	3.5-1
	3.5.1 Affected Environment.....	3.5-1
	3.5.2 Project Design Features	3.5-7
	3.5.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.5-7
	3.5.4 Cumulative Effects	3.5-22
3.6	Biological Resources.....	3.6-1
	3.6.1 Affected Environment.....	3.6-1
	3.6.2 Project Design Features	3.6-17
	3.6.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.6-19
	3.6.4 Cumulative Effects	3.6-50
3.7	Cultural Resources.....	3.7-1
	3.7.1 Affected Environment.....	3.7-1
	3.7.2 Project Design Features	3.7-6
	3.7.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.7-7
	3.7.4 Cumulative Effects	3.7-12
3.8	Geology and Soils.....	3.8-1
	3.8.1 Affected Environment.....	3.8-1
	3.8.2 Project Design Features	3.8-10
	3.8.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.8-14
	3.8.4 Cumulative Effects	3.8-21
3.9	Land Use and Planning.....	3.9-1
	3.9.1 Affected Environment.....	3.9-1
	3.9.2 Project Design Features	3.9-7
	3.9.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.9-7
	3.9.4 Cumulative Effects	3.9-16
3.10	Mineral Resources	3.10-1
	3.10.1 Affected Environment.....	3.10-1
	3.10.2 Project Design Features	3.10-3
	3.10.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.10-3
	3.10.4 Cumulative Effects	3.10-5

3.11	Noise and Vibration.....	3.11-1
	3.11.1 Affected Environment.....	3.11-1
	3.11.2 Project Design Features	3.11-8
	3.11.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.11-8
	3.11.4 Cumulative Effects	3.11-17
3.12	Paleontological Resources	3.12-1
	3.12.1 Affected Environment.....	3.12-1
	3.12.2 Project Design Features	3.12-4
	3.12.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.12-5
	3.12.4 Cumulative Effects	3.12-7
3.13	Public Safety and Hazards.....	3.13-1
	3.13.1 Affected Environment.....	3.13-1
	3.13.2 Project Design Features	3.13-4
	3.13.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.13-5
	3.13.4 Cumulative Effects	3.13-14
3.14	Public Services and Utilities.....	3.14-1
	3.14.1 Affected Environment.....	3.14-1
	3.14.2 Project Design Features	3.14-6
	3.14.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.14-7
	3.14.4 Cumulative Effects	3.14-15
3.15	Recreation	3.15-1
	3.15.1 Affected Environment.....	3.15-1
	3.15.2 Project Design Features	3.15-4
	3.15.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.15-4
	3.15.4 Cumulative Effects	3.15-12
3.16	Traffic/Circulation	3.16-1
	3.16.1 Affected Environment.....	3.16-1
	3.16.2 Project Design Features	3.16-7
	3.16.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.16-7
	3.16.4 Cumulative Effects	3.16-18
3.17	Water Resources	3.17-1
	3.17.1 Affected Environment.....	3.17-1
	3.17.2 Project Design Features	3.17-8
	3.17.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	3.17-9
	3.17.4 Cumulative Effects	3.17-19
3.18	Beneficial Effects.....	3.18-1
	3.18.1 San Vicente Marina.....	3.18-1
	3.18.2 Recreational Fishery	3.18-2
	3.18.3 Socioeconomics	3.18-3
	3.18.4 Water Operations	3.18-3

- 4. ALTERNATIVE 2: MOOSA 100,000 AF (MOOSA 100K) 4.1-1
 - 4.1 Project Description and General Environmental Setting..... 4.1-1
 - 4.2 Cumulative Projects 4.2-1
 - 4.3 Aesthetics/Visual Quality..... 4.3-1
 - 4.3.1 Affected Environment..... 4.3-1
 - 4.3.2 Project Design Features 4.3-3
 - 4.3.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 4.3-4
 - 4.3.4 Cumulative Effects 4.3-12
 - 4.4 Agricultural Resources 4.4-1
 - 4.4.1 Affected Environment..... 4.4-1
 - 4.4.2 Project Design Features 4.4-2
 - 4.4.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 4.4-2
 - 4.4.4 Cumulative Effects 4.4-7
 - 4.5 Air Quality..... 4.5-1
 - 4.5.1 Affected Environment..... 4.5-1
 - 4.5.2 Project Design Features 4.5-1
 - 4.5.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 4.5-2
 - 4.5.4 Cumulative Effects 4.5-10
 - 4.6 Biological Resources..... 4.6-1
 - 4.6.1 Affected Environment..... 4.6-1
 - 4.6.2 Project Design Features 4.6-9
 - 4.6.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 4.6-10
 - 4.6.4 Cumulative Effects 4.6-33
 - 4.7 Cultural Resources..... 4.7-1
 - 4.7.1 Affected Environment..... 4.7-1
 - 4.7.2 Project Design Features 4.7-1
 - 4.7.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 4.7-2
 - 4.7.4 Cumulative Effects 4.7-8
 - 4.8 Geology and Soils 4.8-1
 - 4.8.1 Affected Environment..... 4.8-1
 - 4.8.2 Project Design Features 4.8-4
 - 4.8.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 4.8-4
 - 4.8.4 Cumulative Effects 4.8-11
 - 4.9 Land Use and Planning..... 4.9-1
 - 4.9.1 Affected Environment..... 4.9-1
 - 4.9.2 Project Design Features 4.9-3
 - 4.9.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 4.9-4
 - 4.9.4 Cumulative Effects 4.9-8
 - 4.10 Mineral Resources 4.10-1
 - 4.10.1 Affected Environment..... 4.10-1
 - 4.10.2 Project Design Features 4.10-1
 - 4.10.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 4.10-2
 - 4.10.4 Cumulative Effects 4.10-3

4.11	Noise and Vibration.....	4.11-1
	4.11.1 Affected Environment.....	4.11-1
	4.11.2 Project Design Features	4.11-3
	4.11.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	4.11-3
	4.11.4 Cumulative Effects	4.11-10
4.12	Paleontological Resources	4.12-1
	4.12.1 Affected Environment.....	4.12-1
	4.12.2 Project Design Features	4.12-2
	4.12.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	4.12-2
	4.12.4 Cumulative Effects	4.12-4
4.13	Public Safety and Hazards.....	4.13-1
	4.13.1 Affected Environment.....	4.13-1
	4.13.2 Project Design Features	4.13-2
	4.13.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	4.13-3
	4.13.4 Cumulative Effects	4.13-11
4.14	Public Services and Utilities.....	4.14-1
	4.14.1 Affected Environment.....	4.14-1
	4.14.2 Project Design Features	4.14-5
	4.14.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	4.14-5
	4.14.4 Cumulative Effects	4.14-14
4.15	Recreation	4.15-1
	4.15.1 Affected Environment.....	4.15-1
	4.15.2 Project Design Features	4.15-2
	4.15.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	4.15-2
	4.15.4 Cumulative Effects	4.15-6
4.16	Traffic/Circulation	4.16-1
	4.16.1 Affected Environment.....	4.16-1
	4.16.2 Project Design Features	4.16-7
	4.16.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	4.16-8
	4.16.4 Cumulative Effects	4.16-15
4.17	Water Resources	4.17-1
	4.17.1 Affected Environment.....	4.17-1
	4.17.2 Project Design Features	4.17-3
	4.17.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	4.17-3
	4.17.4 Cumulative Effects	4.17-10
4.18	Beneficial Effects.....	4.18-1
	4.18.1 Moosa Reservoir and Marina.....	4.18-1
	4.18.2 Recreational Fishery	4.18-2
	4.18.3 Socioeconomics	4.18-2

5. ALTERNATIVE 3: SAN VICENTE 50,000 AF+MOOSA 50,000 AF (SV 50K/MOOSA 50K).... 5.1-1

5.1 Project Description and General Environmental Setting..... 5.1-1

5.2 Cumulative Projects 5.2-1

5.3 Aesthetics/Visual Quality..... 5.3-1

5.3.1 Affected Environment..... 5.3-1

5.3.2 Project Design Features 5.3-1

5.3.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 5.3-2

5.3.4 Cumulative Effects 5.3-7

5.4 Agricultural Resources 5.4-1

5.4.1 Affected Environment..... 5.4-1

5.4.2 Project Design Features 5.4-1

5.4.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 5.4-2

5.4.4 Cumulative Effects 5.4-6

5.5 Air Quality..... 5.5-1

5.5.1 Affected Environment..... 5.5-1

5.5.2 Project Design Features 5.5-1

5.5.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 5.5-2

5.5.4 Cumulative Effects 5.5-13

5.6 Biological Resources..... 5.6-1

5.6.1 Affected Environment..... 5.6-1

5.6.2 Project Design Features 5.6-2

5.6.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 5.6-2

5.6.4 Cumulative Effects 5.6-27

5.7 Cultural Resources..... 5.7-1

5.7.1 Affected Environment..... 5.7-1

5.7.2 Project Design Features 5.7-1

5.7.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 5.7-2

5.7.4 Cumulative Effects 5.7-6

5.8 Geology and Soils 5.8-1

5.8.1 Affected Environment..... 5.8-1

5.8.2 Project Design Features 5.8-1

5.8.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 5.8-2

5.8.4 Cumulative Effects 5.8-12

5.9 Land Use and Planning..... 5.9-1

5.9.1 Affected Environment..... 5.9-1

5.9.2 Project Design Features 5.9-1

5.9.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 5.9-2

5.9.4 Cumulative Effects 5.9-7

5.10 Mineral Resources 5.10-1

5.10.1 Affected Environment..... 5.10-1

5.10.2 Project Design Features 5.10-1

5.10.3 Direct and Indirect Effects..... 5.10-2

5.10.4 Cumulative Effects 5.10-3

5.11	Noise and Vibration.....	5.11-1
	5.11.1 Affected Environment.....	5.11-1
	5.11.2 Project Design Features	5.11-1
	5.11.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	5.11-2
	5.11.4 Cumulative Effects	5.11-9
5.12	Paleontological Resources	5.12-1
	5.12.1 Affected Environment.....	5.12-1
	5.12.2 Project Design Features	5.12-2
	5.12.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	5.12-2
	5.12.4 Cumulative Effects	5.12-4
5.13	Public Safety and Hazards.....	5.13-1
	5.13.1 Affected Environment.....	5.13-1
	5.13.2 Project Design Features	5.13-1
	5.13.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	5.13-2
	5.13.4 Cumulative Effects	5.13-10
5.14	Public Services and Utilities.....	5.14-1
	5.14.1 Affected Environment.....	5.14-1
	5.14.2 Project Design Features	5.14-1
	5.14.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	5.14-2
	5.14.4 Cumulative Effects	5.14-11
5.15	Recreation	5.15-1
	5.15.1 Affected Environment.....	5.15-1
	5.15.2 Project Design Features	5.15-1
	5.15.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	5.15-2
	5.15.4 Cumulative Effects	5.15-8
5.16	Traffic/Circulation	5.16-1
	5.16.1 Affected Environment.....	5.16-1
	5.16.2 Project Design Features	5.16-2
	5.16.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	5.16-2
	5.16.4 Cumulative Effects	5.16-15
5.17	Water Resources	5.17-1
	5.17.1 Affected Environment.....	5.17-1
	5.17.2 Project Design Features	5.17-1
	5.17.3 Direct and Indirect Effects.....	5.17-2
	5.17.4 Cumulative Effects	5.17-9
5.18	Beneficial Effects.....	5.18-1
	5.18.1 San Vicente and Moosa Reservoir Marinas	5.18-1
	5.18.2 Recreational Fishery	5.18-1
	5.18.3 Socioeconomics	5.18-2

6. NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVES 6-1

6.1 No Action Alternative..... 6-1

6.1.1 Summary of Significant Impacts 6-1

6.1.2 Growth-Inducing Impacts 6-2

6.1.3 Cumulative Impacts..... 6-7

6.1.4 Irreversible or Irretrievable Commitments of Resources Involved 6-7

6.1.5 Regional Effects 6-7

6.1.6 No Federal Action Alternative 6-8

7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS COMPARISON 7-1

8. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS REQUIRED BY CEQA/NEPA 8-1

8.1 Growth-Inducing Effects..... 8-1

8.2 Socioeconomics/Environmental Justice..... 8-7

8.3 Effects Found Not Significant..... 8-29

8.4 Non-Applicable Significance Thresholds 8-30

8.5 Unavoidable Significant Effects 8-34

8.6 Irreversible or Irretrievable Commitment of Resources Involved..... 8-40

8.7 Global Climate Change 8-51

Part III – Reference Materials

9. ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS..... 9-1

10. REFERENCES..... 10-1

11. LIST OF PREPARERS 11-1

12. LIST OF AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS AND PERSONS CONSULTED 12-1

13. DISTRIBUTION LIST 13-1

14. INDEX 14-1

- ATTACHMENT 1. Scoping Report
- ATTACHMENT 2. Section 404 (b)(1) Alternatives Analysis

List of Tables

ES-1	Comparison of the Potential Environmental Effects of Each Alternative.....	ES-9
ES-2	Key Differentiating Environmental Impacts.....	ES-14
1.6-1	EIR/EIS Format and Required Content	1-15
1.7-1	ESP EIR/EIS San Vicente Dam Raise Alternatives.....	1-17
1.8-1	Permits/Approvals Required for the Project	1-19
2.1-1	Screening of CSP Options and Alternatives.....	2-9
2.1-2	Alternatives Adopted for Coarse Screening	2-11
2.1-3	Summary of Coarse Screening of Alternatives.....	2-13
2.1-4	Alternatives for Fine Screening.....	2-14
2.1-5	Summary of Criteria Measures for Fine Screening of Alternatives	2-15
2.2-1	100,000 AF San Vicente Dam Raise Statistics	2-19
2.2-2	Setback Requirements for Septic Systems	2-23
2.4-1	50,000 AF San Vicente Dam Raise Statistics	2-45
3.2-1	County of San Diego Permit Database – Cumulative Projects Associated with the Proposed Action.....	3.2-6
3.2-2	Cumulative Impact Study Areas by Environmental Issue for the Proposed Action.....	3.2-9
3.4-1	Major Crops Grown in San Diego County	3.4-2
3.5-1	Ambient Background Concentrations in parts per million.....	3.5-1
3.5-2	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.5-3
3.5-3	California Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.5-5
3.5-4	Screening Level Criteria for Air Quality Impacts.....	3.5-8
3.5-5	Estimated Construction Emissions for Proposed Action	3.5-12
3.5-6	Worst-Case (Simultaneous) Maximum Construction Emissions for On-Site Quarry Options	3.5-16
3.5-7	Worst-Case (Simultaneous) Maximum Construction Emissions for Off-Site Quarry Option	3.5-16
3.5-8	CO “Hot Spots” Evaluation for Off-Site Quarry Option Predicted CO Concentrations	3.5-18
3.5-9	Estimated Maximum Daily Operational Emissions	3.5-19
3.6-1	Vegetation Communities in the SV 100K Study Area.....	3.6-3
3.6-2	Corps-Regulated Wetlands/Vegetated Waters and Unvegetated Waters of the U.S. within the SV 100K Footprint.....	3.6-5
3.6-3	CDFG-Defined Wetlands and Waters of the State within the SV 100K Footprint	3.6-7
3.6-4	Sensitive Species Documented from the SV 100K Study Area	3.6-9
3.6-5	Potentially Occurring Sensitive Species within the SV 100K Study Area.....	3.6-12
3.6-6	Permanent Direct Impacts to Vegetation Communities within the SV 100K Footprint.....	3.6-22
3.6-7	Temporary Direct Impacts to Vegetation Communities within the SV 100K Footprint	3.6-23
3.6-8	Permanent Direct Impacts to CDFG-Defined Wetlands and Unvegetated Waters of the State within the SV 100K Footprint	3.6-23
3.6-9	Temporary Direct Impacts to CDFG-Defined Wetlands and Unvegetated Waters of the State within the SV 100K Footprint	3.6-24
3.6-10	Permanent Direct Impacts to Corps-Regulated Wetlands/Vegetated Waters and Unvegetated Waters of the U.S. within the SV 100K Footprint	3.6-30
3.6-11	Temporary Direct Impacts to Corps-Regulated Wetlands/Vegetated Waters and Unvegetated Waters of the U.S. within the SV 100K Footprint	3.6-30
3.6-12	Summary of Potential Impacts to Federally and State Listed Species within the SV 100K Footprint	3.6-31

List of Tables (cont.)

3.6-13	Summary of Potential Impacts to Non-Listed Sensitive Species Within the SV 100K Footprint	3.6-37
3.7-1	NRHP Eligibility for Cultural Resources Associated with the CSP	3.7-10
3.8-1	Soil Characteristics for the SV 100K Study Area.....	3.8-9
3.11-1	Representative Environmental Sound Levels.....	3.11-2
3.11-2	Factors that Affect Individual Judgment of a Sound's "Noisiness"	3.11-3
3.11-3	Daytime Noise Levels Measurements at Selected Locations On/Around the SV 100K Study Area.....	3.11-4
3.11-4	Calculated Existing Roadway Noise Levels at Selected Noise-Sensitive Locations.....	3.11-5
3.11-5	San Diego County Noise Ordinance Exterior Noise Standards	3.11-7
3.11-6	Noise Ranges of Typical Construction Equipment	3.11-10
3.11-7	Construction Noise Levels at Closest Residential Receptor	3.11-11
3.11-8	Calculated Roadway Noise Levels at Selected Noise-Sensitive Locations	3.11-13
3.13-1	Simulated Dam Break Flood Data, San Vicente Dam	3.13-10
3.14-1	Lakeside Union School District Elementary/Middle Schools	3.14-3
3.14-2	Existing Landfills in San Diego County	3.14-5
3.15-1	San Diego County Regional Parks	3.15-2
3.15-2	Recreational Facilities at City Reservoirs/Lakes	3.15-8
3.16-1	Description of Traffic Analysis Scenarios	3.16-3
3.16-2	Existing Traffic Volumes	3.16-3
3.16-3	Level of Service Criteria – Unsignalized Intersections	3.16-4
3.16-4	Existing Intersection Operations	3.16-6
3.16-5	Caltrans ILV Method Intersection Operations.....	3.16-6
3.16-6	Existing Street Segment Operations.....	3.16-7
3.16-7	Construction Traffic Generation.....	3.16-9
3.16-8	Intersection Operations – Off-Site Quarry Option.....	3.16-12
3.16-9	Intersection Operations – On-Site Quarry Options.....	3.16-12
3.16-10	Street Segment Operations – Off-Site Quarry Option	3.16-14
3.16-11	Street Segment Operations – On-Site Quarry Options	3.16-14
4.2-1	Cumulative Projects Associated with the Moosa 100K Alternative	4.2-3
4.2-2	Cumulative Impact Study Areas by Environmental Issue for the Moosa 100K Alternative	4.2-7
4.5-1	Ambient Background Concentrations in parts per million.....	4.5-2
4.5-2	Screening Level Criteria for Air Quality Impacts.....	4.5-3
4.5-3	Worst-Case (Simultaneous) Maximum Construction Emissions: Moosa 100K Alternative.....	4.5-6
4.5-4	CO "Hot Spots" Evaluation Off-Site Trucked Scenario Predicted CO Concentrations.....	4.5-6
4.5-5	Estimated Maximum Daily Operational Emissions: Moosa 100K Alternative.....	4.5-7
4.6-1	Vegetation Communities within the Moosa 100K Study Area	4.6-3
4.6-2	Corps-Regulated Wetlands/Vegetated Waters and Unvegetated Waters of the U.S. within the Moosa 100K Study Area	4.6-4
4.6-3	CDFG-Defined Wetlands and Unvegetated Waters of the State within the Moosa 100K Study Area.....	4.6-5
4.6-4	Sensitive Species Documented from the Moosa 100K Study Area	4.6-6
4.6-5	Potentially Occurring Sensitive Species within the Moosa 100K Study Area	4.6-8
4.6-6	Permanent Direct Impacts to Vegetation Communities within the Moosa 100K Footprint...	4.6-11

List of Tables (cont.)

4.6-7	Temporary Direct Impacts to Vegetation Communities within the Moosa 100K Footprint ...	4.6-12
4.6-8	Permanent and Temporary Direct Impacts to CDFG-Defined Wetlands and Unvegetated Waters of the State within the Moosa 100K Footprint.....	4.6-12
4.6-9	Direct Impacts to Corps-Regulated Wetlands/Vegetated Waters and Unvegetated Waters of the U.S. within the Moosa 100K Footprint.....	4.6-18
4.6-10	Summary of Potential Impacts to Documented Federally and State Listed Species within the Moosa 100K Footprint	4.6-20
4.6-11	Summary of Potential Direct and Indirect Impacts to Non-Listed Sensitive Species within the Moosa 100K Footprint	4.6-23
4.7-1.	NRHP Eligibility for Cultural Resources Associated with the Moosa 100K Alternative.....	4.7-4
4.8-1	Soil Characteristics for the Moosa 100K Study Area.....	4.8-4
4.11-1	Daytime Noise Levels Measurements at Selected Locations On/Around the Moosa 100K Study Area.....	4.11-2
4.11-2	Calculated Existing Roadway Noise Levels at Selected Noise-Sensitive Locations.....	4.11-2
4.11-3	Construction Noise Levels at Closest Residential Receptor	4.11-5
4.11-4	Calculated Roadway Noise Levels at Selected Noise-Sensitive Locations: Moosa 100K Alternative.....	4.11-7
4.16-1	Description of Traffic Analysis Scenarios	4.16-3
4.16-2	Existing Street Segment Traffic Volumes: Moosa 100K Alternative.....	4.16-4
4.16-3	Level of Service – Unsignalized Intersections: Moosa 100K Alternative.....	4.16-5
4.16-4	Existing Intersection Operations: Moosa 100K Alternative	4.16-6
4.16-5	Existing Street Segment Operations: Moosa 100K Alternative	4.16-7
4.16-6	Construction Traffic Generation: Moosa 100K Alternative	4.16-9
4.16-7	Intersection Operations: Moosa 100K Alternative	4.16-11
4.16-8	Street Segment Operations: Moosa 100K Alternative.....	4.16-12
5.2-1	Cumulative Projects Associated with the SV 50K/Moosa 50K Alternative.....	5.2-1
5.5-1	Screening Level Criteria for Air Quality Impacts.....	5.5-2
5.5-2	Worst-Case (Simultaneous) Maximum Construction Emissions: SV 50K Component, On-Site Quarry Options	5.5-5
5.5-3	Worst-Case (Simultaneous) Maximum Construction Emissions: SV 50K Component, Off-Site Quarry Option	5.5-6
5.5-4	Worst-Case (Simultaneous) Maximum Construction Emissions: Moosa 50K Component....	5.5-7
5.5-5	Combined Worst Case (Simultaneous) Maximum Construction Emissions: SV 50K/Moosa 50K Alternative	5.5-8
5.6-1	Permanent Direct Impacts to Terrestrial Vegetation Communities within the SV 50K/Moosa 50K Footprints	5.6-5
5.6-2	Temporary Direct Impacts to Terrestrial Vegetation Communities within the SV 50K/Moosa 50K Footprints	5.6-6
5.6-3	Permanent Direct Impacts to CDFG-Defined Wetlands and Unvegetated Waters of the State within the SV 50K/Moosa 50K Footprints	5.6-7
5.6-4	Temporary Direct Impacts to CDFG- Defined Wetlands and Unvegetated Waters of the State within the SV 50K/Moosa 50K Footprints	5.6-7
5.6-5	Permanent Direct Impacts to Corps-Regulated Wetlands/Vegetated Waters and Unvegetated Waters of the U.S. within the SV 50K/Moosa 50K Footprints.....	5.6-11
5.6-6	Temporary Direct Impacts to Corps-Regulated Wetlands/Vegetated Waters and Unvegetated Waters of the U.S. within the SV 50K/Moosa 50K Footprints.....	5.6-11

List of Tables (cont.)

5.6-7	Potential Impacts to Documented Federally and State Listed Species within the SV 50K/Moosa 50K Footprints	5.6-13
5.6-8	Potential Direct and Indirect Impacts to Non-Listed Sensitive Species within the SV 50K/Moosa 50K Footprints	5.6-19
5.11-1	Calculated Roadway Noise Levels at Selected Noise-Sensitive Locations	5.11-5
5.16-1	Construction Traffic Generation: SV 50K	5.16-3
5.16-2	Construction Traffic Generation: Moosa 50K	5.16-4
5.16-3	Intersection Operations – Off-Site Quarry Option: SV 50K	5.16-5
5.16-4	Intersection Operations – On-Site Quarry Options: SV 50K	5.16-6
5.16-5	Intersection Operations: Moosa 50K	5.16-7
5.16-7	Street Segment Operations – On-Site Quarry Options: SV 50K	5.16-9
5.16-8	Street Segment Operations: Moosa 50K	5.16-9
6.1-1	Summary of Applicable Significant Impacts/Mitigation for San Vicente Dam/Reservoir Portion of ESP	6-3
7-1	Summary of Impacts for the Proposed Action (SV 100K Alternative)	7-3
7-2	Summary of Impacts for the Moosa 100K Alternative	7-25
7-3	Summary of Impacts for the SV 50K/Moosa 50K Alternative	7-52
8.1-1	Growth Forecasts for the San Vicente Local Community Impact Area and San Diego County	8-6
8.1-2	Growth Forecasts for the Moosa Canyon Local Community Impact Area and San Diego County	8-6
8.2-1	Selected Population and Housing Characteristics for the San Vicente Reservoir Local Community Impact Area, City of San Diego, and San Diego County	8-10
8.2-2	Median Home Sales Price San Vicente Reservoir Local Community Impact Area, City of San Diego and San Diego County	8-12
8.2-3	Taxable Retail Sales in San Diego County, City of San Diego and Portions of San Vicente Reservoir Local Community Impact Area	8-13
8.2-4	Estimated Direct Property Tax Impacts From Proposed Action	8-15
8.2-5	Selected Population and Housing Characteristics for the Moosa Canyon Local Community Impact Area, North County East MSA and San Diego County	8-20
8.2-6	Median Home Sales Price Moosa Canyon Local Community Impact Area, North County East MSA and San Diego County	8-22
8.2-7	Taxable Retail Sales in San Diego County and Portions of North County East MSA	8-23
8.2-8	Estimated Direct Property Tax Impacts From Moosa 100K Alternative	8-24
8.2-9	Estimated Direct Property Tax Impacts From SV 50K/Moosa 50K Alternative	8-28
8.6-1	Average Annual Construction Petroleum Requirements for Proposed Action	8-46
8.6-2	Annual Electrical Power Consumption by Component for the Proposed Action	8-47
8.6-3	Average Annual Construction Petroleum Requirements for the Moosa 100K Alternative	8-49
8.6-4	Annual Electrical Power Consumption by Component for the Moosa 100K Alternative	8-49
8.6-5	Average Annual Construction Petroleum Requirements for the SV 50K/Moosa 50K Alternative	8-50
8.6-6	Annual Electrical Power Consumption by Component for the Moosa 100,000 Acre-Feet Alternative	8-51

List of Figures

ES-1	Dam Raises	ES-2
2.1-1	Flow Diagram of Alternative Analysis Methodology	2-59
2.1-2	Flow Chart of Screening Process	2-61
2.1-3	Hierarchy of Goals, Objectives, and Criterion for Fine Screening.....	2-63
2.2-1	Regional Location Map	2-65
2.2-2	Vicinity Map for the San Vicente Reservoir	2-67
2.2-3	Aerial Photo of the San Vicente Reservoir	2-69
2.2-4	Proposed Features for the Proposed Action	2-71
2.2-5	Cross Section of San Vicente Dam Raise under ESP and the Proposed Action	2-73
2.2-6	Plan View of Raised Dam for the Proposed Action	2-75
2.2-7	Cross-Section of Raised Dam for the Proposed Action.....	2-77
2.2-8	Inundation Map for the Proposed Action	2-79
2.2-9	On-Site Quarry Option for the Proposed Action	2-81
2.2-10	Plan View and Cross-Sections of Saddle Dams for the Proposed Action.....	2-83
2.2-11	Bypass Pipeline for the Proposed Action	2-85
2.2-12	Recreation Master Plan Update for the Proposed Action.....	2-87
2.2-13	Direct Impacts to Corp Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters of the U.S.	2-89
2.3-1	Vicinity Map for the Moosa 100K Alternative.....	2-91
2.3-2	Aerial Photo for the Moosa 100K Alternative.....	2-93
2.3-3	Conceptual Features for the Moosa 100K Alternative.....	2-95
2.3-4	Plan View of Conceptual Main Dam for the Moosa 100K Alternative	2-97
2.3-5	Cross-Section of Conceptual Main Dam for the Moosa 100K Alternative.....	2-99
2.3-6	Cross-Section of Conceptual Saddle Dam for the Moosa 100K Alternative	2-101
2.4-1	Vicinity Map of the SV 50K Alternative	2-103
2.4-2	Vicinity Map of the Moosa 50K Alternative	2-105
2.4-3	Plan View of Conceptual Raised Dam for the SV 50K Alternative	2-107
2.4-4	Cross-Section of Conceptual Raised Dam for the SV 50K Alternative	2-109
2.4-5	Inundation Limits for the SV 50K Alternative	2-111
2.4-6	Plan View of Conceptual Dam for the Moosa 50K Alternative	2-113
2.4-7	Cross-Section of Conceptual Raised Dam for the Moosa 50K Alternative	2-115
3.2-1	Cumulative Projects Associated with the Proposed Action	3.2-11
3.3-1	Key Map of San Vicente Dam Raise Viewpoint Locations	3.3-15
3.3-2	Viewshed 1: Upper Moreno Valley	3.3-17
3.3-3	Viewshed 2: SR-67	3.3-19
3.3-4	Viewshed 3: Eucalyptus Hills (Lower Elevation).....	3.3-21
3.3-5	Viewshed 4: Eucalyptus Hills (Upper Elevation).....	3.3-23
3.6-1	Vegetation Communities within the SV 100K Study Area	3.6-57
3.6-2	Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters within the SV 100K Study Area	3.6-59
3.6-3	Regional Conservation Areas/Land Ownership in the Vicinity of the Proposed Action.....	3.6-61
3.6-4	Impacts to Vegetation Communities within the SV 100K Footprint	3.6-63
3.6-5	Impacts to Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters within the SV 100K Footprint.....	3.6-65
3.6-6	Impacts to Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species within the SV 100K Footprint	3.6-67
3.8-1	Regional Geology Map	3.8-27
3.8-2	Local Bedrock Geology Map in the Vicinity of the San Vicente Dam	3.8-29

List of Figures (cont.)

3.8-3	Dam Site Geology Map for the Proposed Action.....	3.8-31
3.8-4	Geologic Profile Along Dam Axis.....	3.8-33
3.11-1	Noise Measurements Locations for the SV 100K Study Area.....	3.11-23
3.12-1	Paleontologically Sensitive Geologic Units in the SV 100K Footprint	3.12-9
3.15-1	Existing Recreational Facilities at San Vicente Reservoir.....	3.15-15
3.17-1	San Vicente Drainage Area	3.17-23
3.17-2	Annual Precipitation at San Vicente Reservoir (1943-2005).....	3.17-25
3.17-3	Typical Reservoir Stratification	3.17-27
4.1-1	Vicinity Map of the Moosa 100K Alternative	4.1-5
4.2-1	Cumulative Projects Associated with the Moosa 100K Alternative	4.2-9
4.3-1	Viewsheds for the Moosa 100K Alternative.....	4.3-15
4.3-2	Viewshed 2: Moosa Dam.....	4.3-17
4.3-3	Viewshed 3: Moosa Pump Station.....	4.3-19
4.3-4	Viewshed 4: VCMWD South Pump Station	4.3-21
4.3-5	Viewshed 4: VCMWD North Pump Station.....	4.3-23
4.6-1	Vegetation Communities within the Moosa 100K Study Area	4.6-39
4.6-2	Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters within the Moosa 100K Study Area	4.6-41
4.6-3	Regional Conservation Areas/Land Ownership in the Vicinity of the Moosa 100K Alternative.....	4.6-43
4.6-4	Impacts to Vegetation Communities within the Reservoir Area of the Moosa 100K Footprint	4.6-45
4.6-5	Impacts to Vegetation Communities within the Moosa Pipeline.....	4.6-47
4.6-6	Impacts to Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters within the Reservoir Area of the Moosa 100K Footprint	4.6-49
4.6-7	Impacts to Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters within the Moosa Pipeline.....	4.6-51
4.8-1	Regional Geology Map for the Moosa 100K Alternative	4.8-17
4.11-1	Noise Monitoring Locations for the Moosa 100K Study Area.....	4.11-15
5.6-1	Impacts to Vegetation Communities within the SV 50K Footprint.....	5.6-33
5.6-2	Impacts to Vegetation Communities within the Moosa 50K Footprint	5.6-35
5.6-3	Impacts to Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters within the SV 50K Footprint.....	5.6-37
5.6-4	Impacts to Jurisdictional Wetlands within the Moosa 50K Footprint	5.6-39
5.6-5	Impacts to Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Species within the SV 50K Footprint	5.6-41
5.13-1	Valley Center Landfill Proximity to Moosa Reservoir Inundation Areas	5.13-13

Volume II

Technical Appendices

- A. Recreational Master Plan Update for the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise EIR/EIS. Prepared by Estrada Land Planning.
- B. Air Quality Technical Report for the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise EIR/EIS. Prepared by SRA.
- C. Biological Resources Technical Report for the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise EIR/EIS. Prepared by TAIC.
- D. Supplemental Inventory and National Register Testing Report for the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise EIR/EIS. Prepared by ASM Affiliates, Inc. **Confidential**
- E. Noise Technical Report for the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise EIR/EIS. Prepared by EIP, a division of PBS&J.
- F. Hazardous Materials Technical Report for the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise EIR/EIS. Prepared by Rincon Consultants, Inc.
- G. Traffic Impact Analysis for the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise EIR/EIS. Prepared by Linscott, Law & Greenspan, Engineers.
- H. Draft Community Impact Assessment for the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise EIR/EIS. Prepared by CIC Research Inc.
- I. Energy Analysis for the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise EIR/EIS. Prepared by SRA.